

Georgia Institute of Technology

The problem: Gaussian process regression Given measurements y_{Tr} at N points X_{Tr} , we wish to estimate unseen data $y_{\rm Pr}$ at $X_{\rm Pr}$. Estimation of $y_{\rm Pr}$ can be done by conditioning on $y_{\rm Tr}$: $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{Tr}}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{Pr}} + \Theta_{\mathrm{Pr},\mathrm{Tr}}\Theta_{\mathrm{Tr},\mathrm{Tr}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{Tr}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{Tr}})$ $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{OV}}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{Tr}}] = \Theta_{\mathrm{Pr},\mathrm{Pr}} - \Theta_{\mathrm{Pr},\mathrm{Tr}}\Theta_{\mathrm{Tr},\mathrm{Tr}}\Theta_{\mathrm{Tr},\mathrm{Pr}} \coloneqq \Theta_{\mathrm{Pr},\mathrm{Pr}|\mathrm{Tr}}$

Cubic bottleneck and the screening effect Computing the conditional distribution has computational cost $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$, which is infeasible for many points. Instead, exploit the *screening effect*: conditional on nearby points, far away points have little correlation.





k-nearest neighbors?

The screening effect suggests that one should simply pick the k closest points, recovering the k-nearest neighbors (k-NN) algorithm.

Here, the blue points are the candidates, the orange point is the unknown point, and the green points are the k selected points (in this example, k = 2).



k-NN is myopic, account for conditioning! Algorithm [conditional k-nearest neighbors (Ck-NN)]:

Selecting the closest point every iteration leads to redundancy.

Instead, select points *conditional* on points already selected. Selecting points by *information* instead of by distance motivates conditional k-th nearest neighbors (Ck-NN).



Sparse Cholesky Factorization by Greedy Conditional Selection

Stephen Huan and Florian Schäfer

We classify an image by taking the mode label in k selected images. Ck-NN gives better accuracies on the MNIST dataset for every k > 2.

Motivated by compressive sensing, we generate sparse factors L to be recovered from measurements LL^{\top} . 0.6 Ck-NN recovers L with near perfect accuracy over varying densities.

Plugging the selection algorithm into Cholesky factorization leads to better KL divergence for the same number of nonzero entries as k-NN.

Because minimizing KL divergence minimizes the Kaporin condition number, our method needs fewer iterations of the conjugate gradient to solve linear systems $\Theta x = y$.



