# Sparse Cholesky Factorization by Greedy Conditional Selection

#### Stephen Huan

https://stephen-huan.github.io/projects/cholesky/

#### SIAM MDS22

### Collaborators









Joe Guinness, Cornell

Matthias Katzfuß, Texas A&M

, Houman Owhadi, Florian Schäfer, Caltech Gatech

#### Overview

#### Introduction

Previous work

Conditional selection

Numerical experiments

Conclusion

#### The problem

#### Covariance matrices from pairwise kernel function evaluations

i.e.  $\Theta_{i,j} = K(\pmb{x}_i, \pmb{x}_j)$  for points  $\{\pmb{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and kernel function K

#### The problem

#### Covariance matrices from pairwise kernel function evaluations

i.e.  $\Theta_{i,j} = K(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$  for points  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and kernel function K

Kernel trick in machine learning

Statistical inference in Gaussian processes on  $oldsymbol{y}\sim\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\Theta)$ 

#### The problem

#### Covariance matrices from pairwise kernel function evaluations

i.e.  $\Theta_{i,j} = K(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$  for points  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and kernel function K

Kernel trick in machine learning

Statistical inference in Gaussian processes on  $\boldsymbol{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})$ 

Seek sparse Cholesky factor for dense covariance matrix

#### Statistical Cholesky factorization

Factor covariance matrix  $\Theta$  or precision matrix  $Q=\Theta^{-1}?$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i,i} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i] & Q_{i,i}^{-1} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i \mid y_{k \neq i}] \\ \Theta_{i,j} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_i, y_j] & \frac{-Q_{i,j}}{\sqrt{Q_{i,i}Q_{j,j}}} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k \neq i,j}] \end{aligned}$$

 $Cholesky \ factorization \Leftrightarrow iterative \ conditioning \ of \ process$ 

$$\begin{split} L &= \operatorname{chol}(\Theta) \qquad \qquad L = \operatorname{chol}(Q) \\ L_{i,j} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}} \qquad \qquad -\frac{L_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]}{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]} \end{split}$$

#### Statistical Cholesky factorization

Factor covariance matrix  $\Theta$  or precision matrix  $Q=\Theta^{-1}?$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i,i} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i] & Q_{i,i}^{-1} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i \mid y_{k \neq i}] \\ \Theta_{i,j} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_i, y_j] & \frac{-Q_{i,j}}{\sqrt{Q_{i,i}Q_{j,j}}} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k \neq i,j}] \end{aligned}$$

 $Cholesky \ factorization \Leftrightarrow iterative \ conditioning \ of \ process$ 

$$\begin{split} L &= \operatorname{chol}(\Theta) \qquad \qquad L = \operatorname{chol}(Q) \\ L_{i,j} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}} \qquad \qquad -\frac{L_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]}{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]} \end{split}$$

Conditional (near)-independence  $\Leftrightarrow$  (approximate) sparsity

#### Statistical Cholesky factorization

Factor covariance matrix  $\Theta$  or precision matrix  $Q=\Theta^{-1}?$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i,i} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i] & Q_{i,i}^{-1} &= \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_i \mid y_{k \neq i}] \\ \Theta_{i,j} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_i, y_j] & \frac{-Q_{i,j}}{\sqrt{Q_{i,i}Q_{j,j}}} &= \mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k \neq i,j}] \end{aligned}$$

 $Cholesky \ factorization \Leftrightarrow iterative \ conditioning \ of \ process$ 

$$\begin{split} L &= \operatorname{chol}(\Theta) \qquad \qquad L = \operatorname{chol}(Q) \\ L_{i,j} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k < j}]}} \qquad \qquad -\frac{L_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]}{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]} \end{split}$$

Conditional (near)-independence  $\Leftrightarrow$  (approximate) sparsity

Prefer precision matrix to attenuate density

### Cholesky factorization recipe

Implied procedure for computing  $LL^{\top} \approx \Theta^{-1}$ 

- 1. Pick an ordering on the rows/columns of  $\Theta$
- 2. Select a sparsity pattern lower triangular w.r.t. ordering
- 3. Compute entries by minimizing objective over all factors

## Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

#### Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

Efficient and embarrassingly parallel closed-form solution

$$L_{s_i,i} = \frac{\Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}}$$

#### Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

Efficient and embarrassingly parallel closed-form solution

$$L_{s_i,i} = \frac{\Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}}$$

Achieves state of the art  $\epsilon$ -accuracy in time complexity  $\mathcal{O}\left(N\log^{2d}\left(\frac{N}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$  with  $\mathcal{O}\left(N\log^{d}\left(\frac{N}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$  nonzero entries [Schäfer, Katzfuss, and Owhadi 2021]

# Screening effect



Conditional on points near a point of interest, far away points are almost independent [Stein 2002]

# Screening effect



Conditional on points near a point of interest, far away points are almost independent [Stein 2002]

Suggests space-covering ordering and selecting nearby points

(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



# This work: KL-minimization, revisited

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1:}\right)\right]$$

#### This work: KL-minimization, revisited

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1:}\right)\right]$$

 $\mathsf{KL} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{accumulated} \text{ error over independent regression problems}$ 

#### This work: KL-minimization, revisited

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1:}\right)\right]$$

 $\mathsf{KL} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{accumulated} \text{ error over independent regression problems}$ 

Goal: minimize posterior variance of *i*th prediction point by selecting training points  $s_i$  most informative to that point

Variance  $\Leftrightarrow$  mutual information  $\Leftrightarrow$  mean squared error

#### Conditional k-nearest neighbors

• • •

Sparse Gaussian process regression, experimental design, active set, etc.

Naive: select k closest points

### Conditional k-nearest neighbors



Sparse Gaussian process regression, experimental design, active set, etc.

Naive: select k closest points

Chooses redundant information
### Conditional k-nearest neighbors

Sparse Gaussian process regression, experimental design, active set, etc.

Naive: select k closest points

Chooses redundant information

Maximize mutual information!



### Conditional k-nearest neighbors

Sparse Gaussian process regression, experimental design, active set, etc.

Naive: select k closest points

Chooses redundant information

Maximize mutual information!



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



Identify target point as the diagonal entry, candidates are below it, and add selected entries to the sparsity pattern



#### Conditional selection



#### Greedy conditional selection

Intractable to search over  $\binom{N}{s}$  subsets, use greedy instead

### Greedy conditional selection

Intractable to search over  $\binom{N}{s}$  subsets, use greedy instead

Direct computation is  $\mathcal{O}(Ns^4)$  to select s points out of N

### Greedy conditional selection

Intractable to search over  $\binom{N}{s}$  subsets, use greedy instead

Direct computation is  $\mathcal{O}(Ns^4)$  to select s points out of N

Maintain partial Cholesky factor for  $\mathcal{O}(Ns^2)$ 

Selecting candidate k is rank-one downdate to covariance  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ 

$$\Theta_{:,:|I,k} = \Theta_{:,:|I} - \boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}$$
  $\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{\Theta_{:,k|I}}{\sqrt{\Theta_{k,k|I}}}$ 

Selecting candidate k is rank-one downdate to covariance  $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ 

$$\Theta_{:,:|I,k} = \Theta_{:,:|I} - oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^ op \ oldsymbol{u} = rac{\Theta_{:,k|I}}{\sqrt{\Theta_{k,k|I}}}$$

Corresponding decrease in posterior variance is

$$u_{\mathsf{Pr}}^{2} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}}{\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{k} \mid I]} = \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid I] \,\mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}$$

Selecting candidate k is rank-one downdate to covariance  $\Theta$ 

$$\Theta_{:,:|I,k} = \Theta_{:,:|I} - oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^ op \ oldsymbol{u} = rac{\Theta_{:,k|I}}{\sqrt{\Theta_{k,k|I}}}$$

Corresponding decrease in posterior variance is

$$u_{\mathsf{Pr}}^{2} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}}{\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{k} \mid I]} = \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid I] \,\mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}$$

Compute u as next column of (partial) Cholesky factor

Selecting candidate k is rank-one downdate to covariance  $\Theta$ 

$$\Theta_{:,:|I,k} = \Theta_{:,:|I} - oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^ op \ oldsymbol{u} = rac{\Theta_{:,k|I}}{\sqrt{\Theta_{k,k|I}}}$$

Corresponding decrease in posterior variance is

$$u_{\mathsf{Pr}}^{2} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}}{\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{k} \mid I]} = \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid I] \,\mathbb{C}\mathrm{orr}[y_{\mathsf{Pr}}, y_{k} \mid I]^{2}$$

Compute u as next column of (partial) Cholesky factor

Replace  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  update with  $\mathcal{O}(Ns)$  by "left-looking"

$$L_{:,i} \leftarrow \Theta_{:,k} - L_{:,i-1} L_{k,i-1}^{\top}$$
$$L_{:,i} \leftarrow \frac{L_{:,i}}{\sqrt{L_{k,i}}}$$

#### *k*-nearest neighbors

Image classification by mode label of k-"nearest" neighbors

MNIST database of handwritten digits [Lecun et al. 1998]

Matérn kernel with smoothness  $u = \frac{3}{2}$  and length scale  $\ell = 2^{10}$ 



#### Recovery of sparse factors

#### Randomly generate a priori sparse Cholesky factor L

Attempt to recover L given covariance matrix  $\Theta = L L^{\top}$ 



#### Cholesky factorization

Randomly sample  $N = 2^{16}$  points uniformly from  $[0, 1]^3$ 

Matérn kernel with smoothness  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  and length scale  $\ell = 1$ 



#### Gaussian process regression

Randomly sample  $2^{16}$  points uniformly from  $[0,1]^3$ 

Randomly partition into 90% training and 10% prediction

Matérn kernel with smoothness  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  and length scale  $\ell = 1$ 

Draw  $10^3$  realizations from the resulting Gaussian process



#### Preconditioning the conjugate gradient

Randomly sample N points uniformly from  $[0,1]^3$ 

Matérn kernel with smoothness  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and length scale  $\ell = 1$ 

First sample solution  $m{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(m{0}, \mathsf{Id}_N)$  then compute  $m{y} = \Theta m{x}$ 

Run conjugate gradient with preconditioner L



## Summary

*Sparse* Cholesky factorization of *dense* kernel matrices from approximate conditional independence in Gaussian processes

Previous work exploits screening effect for ordering and sparsity

Replace pure geometry with information-theoretic criteria

More accurate factors at the same sparsity

Conditional selection is computationally efficient

# Thank You!

#### References

- Guinness, Joseph (Oct. 2018). "Permutation and Grouping Methods for Sharpening Gaussian Process Approximations". In: *Technometrics* 60.4, pp. 415–429. ISSN: 0040-1706, 1537-2723. DOI: 10.1080/00401706.2018.1437476. arXiv: 1609.05372 [stat].
- Lecun, Y. et al. (Nov. 1998). "Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition". In: *Proceedings of the IEEE* 86.11, pp. 2278–2324. ISSN: 1558-2256. DOI: 10.1109/5.726791.
- Schäfer, Florian, Matthias Katzfuss, and Houman Owhadi (Oct. 2021). "Sparse Cholesky Factorization by Kullback-Leibler Minimization". In: arXiv:2004.14455 [cs, math, stat]. arXiv: 2004.14455 [cs, math, stat].
- Stein, Michael L. (Feb. 2002). "The Screening Effect in Kriging". In: *The Annals of Statistics* 30.1, pp. 298–323. ISSN: 0090-5364, 2168-8966. DOI: 10.1214/aos/1015362194.

#### Mutual information objective

Define mutual information or information gain

$$\mathbb{I}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}; \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] = \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}] - \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}]$$

Entropy increasing with log determinant of covariance

Information-theoretic EV-VE identity

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}] &= \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] + \mathbb{I}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}; \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] \\ \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}]] + \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}]] \end{aligned}$ 

## Orthogonal matching pursuit

Conditional selection can be seen as orthogonal matching pursuit in covariance rather than feature space

$$\Theta = F^{\top}F$$

where  $F\sp{i}$  s columns  $F_i$  are vectors in feature space and

$$\Theta_{i,j} = \langle F_i, F_j \rangle$$

Suppose F has QR factorization

$$F = QR$$

for Q orthonormal and R upper triangular. Then

$$\Theta = F^{\top}F = (QR)^{\top}(QR)$$
$$= R^{\top}Q^{\top}QR$$
$$= R^{\top}R$$

so  $R^{\top}$  is a lower triangular Cholesky factor of  $\Theta$ .

#### Multiple prediction points

Select candidate for *multiple* prediction points jointly

Try to take advantage of "two birds with one stone"

Flipped objective allows efficient algorithm by single selection  $\log\det(\Theta_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Pr}|I,k}) - \log\det(\Theta_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Pr}|I}) = \log(\Theta_{k,k|I},\mathsf{Pr}) - \log(\Theta_{k,k|I})$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(Ns^2+Nm^2+m^3)$  to select s points out of N candidates for m targets, essentially m times faster than single selection

#### Partial selection

In aggregated (supernodal) Cholesky factorization, "partial" addition of candidates if candidate is between grouped targets

Conditional structure of partially conditioned covariance matrix

$$\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\parallel k}] = \begin{pmatrix} L_{:p}L_{:p}^{\top} & L_{:p}L_{p+1:}^{\top} \\ L_{p+1:}^{\prime}L_{:p}^{\top} & L_{p+1:}^{\prime}L_{p+1:}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{:p} \\ L_{p+1:}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{:p} \\ L_{p+1:}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix}^{\top}$$

Efficient inductive algorithm matches complexity of multiple-target selection algorithm using rank-one downdating

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{i,i|:i-1} &= L_{i,i}^2 \\ \Theta_{j,i|:i-1} &= L_{j,i} \cdot L_{i,i} \\ \Theta_{i,i|:i-1,j} &= \Theta_{i,i|:i-1} - \Theta_{j,i|:i-1}^2 / \Theta_{j,j|:i-1} \\ \Theta_{j,j|:i-1,i} &= \Theta_{j,j|:i-1} - \Theta_{j,i|:i-1}^2 / \Theta_{i,i|:i-1} = \Theta_{j,j|:i} \end{split}$$
## Allocating nonzeros by global selection

It matters how many nonzeros each columns receives, especially for inhomogeneous geometries

Distributing evenly maximizes computational efficiency

To maximize accuracy, maintain *global* priority queue that determines both the next candidate to select and its column

Priority queue implemented as array-backed binary heap, e.g.